IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4618 OF 2005

Mr. Shahid Nasir Khan ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri. V.D.Upadhyay for the Applicant.
Shri. K.V.Saste,A.P.P.for the Respondents.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATED : JULY 20, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The learned Advocate for the Appliant submitted that the Applicant was not present at the scene of offence. He submitted that other co-accused were arrested and have been enlarged on bail. The learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant lastly submitted that the Applicant has co-operated with the Investigating Officer by attending Police Station on many ocassions.

2. The learned A.P.P. submitted that the allegations against the Applicant are very serious. The other accused who were arrested were granted police custody remand and subsequently they were enlarged on regular bail.

3. The offence has been registered under sections 170, 341, 384, 420, 452, 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The allegations in the F.I.R. are of very serious nature of extorting money from the owner of a cyber cafe. Considering the gravity of the

allegations, this is not a case where it can be said that custodial interrogation is not necessary. The allegation against the Applicant is that he posed himself to be a policeman.

4. No case is made out for grant of Anticipatory Bail. Application is therefore, rejected.

JUDGE